

## Computer Algebra

Summer Term 2019 - Sheet 1

Due Date: Thursday, April 25, 2019, 10:00 am

**Exercise 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Prove the following statements:

- (a)  $f$  is nilpotent if and only if  $a_\alpha$  is nilpotent for all  $\alpha$ . In particular:  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is reduced if and only if  $A$  is reduced.  
 (Hint: Argue by induction on the number of variables.)
- (b)  $f$  is a unit in  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  if and only if  $a_{(0, \dots, 0)}$  is a unit in  $A$  and  $a_\alpha$  are nilpotent for  $\alpha \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ . In particular:  $(A[x_1, \dots, x_n])^* = A^*$  if and only if  $A$  is reduced.  
 (Hint: First prove the following statement using a geometric series: If  $a \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a unit and  $b \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is nilpotent, then  $a + b$  is a unit. Deduce the “if”-part from this statement. For the “only if”-part, use this statement and induction on the number of variables.)

**Exercise 2.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 0} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Prove the following statements:

- (a)  $f$  is a zero-divisor in  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  if and only if there exists some  $a \neq 0$  in  $A$  such that  $af = 0$ . In particular:  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an integral domain if and only if  $A$  is an integral domain.
- (b)  $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is an integral domain if and only if  $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$  for all  $f, g \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

**Exercise 3.** Monomial orderings arise in a variety of ways. One possibility is to use matrices to define monomial orderings: The matrix  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  defines a monomial ordering  $>_A$  on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  by setting

$$x^\alpha >_A x^\beta \Leftrightarrow A\alpha >_{\text{lex}} A\beta,$$

where  $>_{\text{lex}}$  on the right-hand side is the lexicographical ordering on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . One can also define new monomial orderings from “known” orderings using so-called **product orderings**: Consider a monomial ordering  $>_1$  on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1})$  and a monomial ordering  $>_2$  on  $\text{Mon}(y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ . Then the product ordering or block ordering  $>$ , also denoted by  $(>_1, >_2)$ , on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$ , is defined as

$$x^\alpha y^\beta > x^{\alpha'} y^{\beta'} \Leftrightarrow x^\alpha >_1 x^{\alpha'} \text{ or } (x^\alpha = x^{\alpha'} \text{ and } y^\beta >_2 y^{\beta'}).$$

Given a vector  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  of integers, we define the weighted degree of  $x^\alpha$  by

$$w\text{-deg}(x^\alpha) := \langle w, \alpha \rangle := w_1\alpha_1 + \dots + w_n\alpha_n,$$

that is, the variable  $x_i$  has degree  $w_i$ . For a polynomial  $f = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha x^\alpha$ , we define the weighted degree,

$$w\text{-deg}(f) := \max\{w\text{-deg}(x^\alpha) \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\}.$$

Using the weighted degree in the definition of  $>_{dp}$ , respectively  $>_{ds}$  (cf. Example 1.2.8 in the SINGULAR book by Greuel, Pfister), with all  $w_i > 0$ , instead of the usual degree, we obtain the **weighted reverse lexicographical ordering**  $>_{wp(w_1, \dots, w_n)}$ , respectively the **negative weighted reverse lexicographical ordering**  $>_{ws(w_1, \dots, w_n)}$ .

- (a) Show that  $>_A$  is indeed a monomial ordering on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
- (b) Determine matrices  $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  defining the orderings
  - (i)  $>_{ws(5,3,4)}$  on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with  $n = 3$ ,
  - (ii)  $(>_{dp}, >_{ls})$  on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2})$  with  $n = n_1 + n_2$ ,
  - (iii)  $(>_{ds}, >_{wp(7,1,9)})$  on  $\text{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, y_2, y_3)$  with  $n = n_1 + 3$ .

**Exercise 4.** Write a SINGULAR procedure `pairSet(list P, ideal I, poly f)`, having a list  $P = ((g_1, h_1), \dots, (g_r, h_r))$  of pairs of polynomials, an ideal  $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$  and a polynomial  $f$  as input and returning the extended pair set  $P = P \cup ((f, f_1), \dots, (f, f_s))$  as output.

**Don't forget to add at least one example to your procedure!**